



## **The Application of CanalSentry™ To the Rocky Ford Highline Canal August 27, 2009**

Report Prepared By:



**INNOVIUM**

Combining Chemistry & Nature

Innovium LLC  
1734 Clarkson Road, #244  
Chesterfield, Missouri 63017  
Ph: 314-607-0563

## **Executive Summary:**

Innovium has developed a product which significantly reduces seepage in canals, is biodegradable and is much safer to use than polyacrylamide in this application. CanalSentry™ is a carbohydrate based natural product which contains a small amount of polyacrylamide. When applied into the canal water, it effectively aggregates small clay, silt, and silicates particles which reside in the water as suspended solids. These small particles are attracted to the negatively charged polymer and form aggregates which drop out of the water and fill the small cracks and crevices in the canal where water is seeping from.

The Rocky Ford Highline Canal Company working with Innovium (Mark Hochwalt, President) applied CanalSentry™ to 4.4 miles of canal, starting from approximately 0.1 miles upstream of HWY 50 and continuing upstream to approximately 0.4 miles below the Rocky Ford Highline Canal Gauging Station. Del Smith, John Osterberg and Patrick Ergers from the Bureau of Reclamation were on hand to witness the application and provide assistance in measuring the effectiveness of the application by taking measurements at the top and bottom of the canal reach before and after the application.

Prior to treating the canal, flow measurements were made at the top and bottom of the reach to assess the flow rates and leakage rate over the reach. The upper reach was flowing at 183 cfs and the lower reach was flowing at 173cfs. There was 0.6cfs being diverted over the reach, so the estimated loss due to seepage was pegged at 9.4cfs.

To treat the canal, a water sample was taken to assess the water turbidity which is an indicator of suspended solids. The sample showed the water's turbidity to be approximately 200 NTU. This represents approximately 240 ppm of suspended clays and silt in the water which is sufficient to get an effective seal.

The dosage of CanalSentry™ was evenly dispensed over the 4.4 mile reach. Flow measurements made after the application showed the upper end of the canal was running at 183 cfs and the lower end of the canal was running at 177 cfs. There was still 0.6cfs being diverted from the reach. The loss after the application was calculated at 5.4 cfs. The loss before the application was 9.4 cfs, therefore the application showed a savings of 4 cfs or a 43% reduction in seepage.

The calculated water savings for doing the treatment, assuming that one treatment will last the rest of the irrigation season is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Water Savings per day} &= 4 \text{ cfs} \times 3600 \text{ sec per hour} \times 24 \text{ hours} \\ &= 345,600 \text{ cf per day} / 43560 \text{ cf per acre ft} \\ &= 7.9 \text{ acre ft per day}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Water savings for remainder of season} &= 7.9 \text{ acre ft per day} \times 70 \text{ days} \\ &= 553 \text{ acre ft}\end{aligned}$$

## **Application Details:**

A 4.4 mile reach of the Rocky Ford Highline Canal was treated with CanalSentry™, a bio-friendly carbohydrate based canal sealant developed by Innovium LLC. Prior to the application, flow measurements were taken by the Bureau of Reclamation using a Teledyne RDI StreamPro ADCP ( Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler). On the day of application the top of the reach had a flow rate of 183 cfs and the bottom of the reach had a flow rate of 173 cfs. There was one off take of 0.6 cfs in the reach, therefore the loss in the reach was calculated at 9.4 cfs.



Treated section of the Rocky Ford Highline Canal



The Rocky Ford Highline Canal Company  
Applying CanalSentry™ Through a Plastic Funnel

The following sequence of pictures shows the formation of flocks in the canal water from one point on the canal as the application motor boat was advancing up the canal. Based on the velocity of the boat's travel up the canal and the water flow rate down the canal, we can determine the time and the distance from the point of application before flock formation was observed.



Boat Passing During Application – 2:44 PM



Point 10 minutes After Application – 2:54 PM



Point 11 minutes After Application – 2:55  
Flocks beginning to Form



Point 13 minutes after application – 2:57 PM  
Water becoming clearer, flock pools more evident



Point 16 minutes after application – 3:00 PM



Point 20 minutes after application – 3:04 PM

Flocks began forming in the water at 11 minutes after the boat passed. Solving two simultaneous equations, one for the travel of the motor boat up

the reach and the other for the water flow rate down the reach, a distance can be calculated from the observation point to determine the time it takes to hydrate the CanalSentry™ and begin flock formation.

Solving the two equations the boat had traveled approximately 791 ft up the canal taking approximately 4.5 minutes at a velocity of 2.93 ft/sec, and the treated water took 6.5 minutes to travel back to the observation point at a velocity of 2.0 ft/sec with a total elapsed time of 11 minutes from the time the boat passed the observation point.

Samples taken of the water before and after application show how effective CanalSentry™ is in dropping the sediment out of the water to form a sealing material.

### **Results:**

Preliminary estimates indicated a loss rate of about 5 cubic feet per second over the treated segment of canal with a canal flow rate of about 150 cubic feet per second at the upstream end. An inflow-outflow test conducted earlier this year on this same segment at a flow rate of about 150 cubic feet per second indicated a pre-treatment seepage loss of about 14 cubic feet per second.

The results need to be more carefully analyzed, but this is quite encouraging. This 64% reduction is very similar to the reduction that was measured earlier along this reach using LA PAM.

### **Conclusions:**

It appears from the preliminary result that CanalSentry™ is as effective as LA PAM at reducing canal seepage. The application technique used was extremely low tech and inexpensive, but effective at achieving the desired result. The exposure level to the product using this method when used along with some simple personal protective equipment (gloves and a dust mask) would be very low. The CanalSentry™ appeared to dissolve more easily than LA PAM, with flocks appearing approximately 791 ft or 0.15 miles downstream of the application point.